Gauge Structure from Finite Group Algebras: The Example of D_4

Carl Brannen
retired

May 11, 2026

Abstract

Continuous gauge symmetries are usually introduced through Lie groups acting on quantum fields. In this paper we show that the algebraic structure underlying non-abelian gauge transformations already arises naturally inside the complex group algebra of a finite non-abelian group. The dihedral group D_4, the symmetry group of the square, is used as an explicit example.

The complex group algebra $C[D_4]$ decomposes into irreducible matrix blocks under the Artin–Wedderburn theorem. While the character table describes only the subspace of class functions, the full group algebra contains additional intra-class directions invisible to the character table. For D_4 these directions form a three-dimensional subspace which, after elementary normalization, satisfies the Pauli algebra and generates continuous SU(2) transformations inside the two-dimensional irreducible block.

The construction is carried out explicitly using only the multiplication table of D_4. Continuous rotations arise from exponentials of finite group algebra elements, without assuming any continuous symmetry at the fundamental level. The mechanism generalizes to any finite group possessing higher-dimensional irreducible representations, where the associated matrix blocks naturally support the corresponding su(N) Lie-algebra structures.

1 Introduction

Continuous gauge symmetries are usually introduced through Lie groups acting on quantum fields. The Standard Model, for example, is built from continuous internal symmetry groups such as $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Finite symmetry groups, by contrast, are normally regarded as discrete approximations or residual symmetries with fundamentally different mathematical structure.

The purpose of this paper is to show explicitly that the complex group algebra of a finite non-abelian group already contains the algebraic structure needed for continuous non-abelian gauge transformations. The dihedral group D_4, the symmetry group of the square, provides a particularly simple and transparent example. Although D_4 itself contains only eight elements, its complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$ is an eight-dimensional complex vector space whose noncommutative multiplication structure naturally contains a copy of the Pauli algebra and therefore supports continuous $SU(2)$ transformations.

The mechanism is closely related to harmonic analysis and the decomposition of group algebras into irreducible blocks. Harmonic analysis is often first encountered in physics through the ordinary Fourier transform, where translation symmetry diagonalizes differential operators using complex exponentials. More generally, Fourier analysis is the study of functions through the irreducible representations of a symmetry group.[2] For continuous rotation symmetry this leads to spherical harmonics; for finite groups it leads to character tables and matrix representations.

For finite non-abelian groups the character table describes only the subspace of class functions, i.e. functions constant on conjugacy classes. The full group algebra is generally larger. In the case of D_4, the space of class functions is five-dimensional while the full group algebra is eight-dimensional. The remaining three dimensions correspond to intra-class directions that are invisible to the character table. The central observation of this paper is that, after elementary normalization, these three directions satisfy exactly the multiplication relations of the Pauli matrices inside the two-dimensional irreducible block of $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$.

This structure is naturally understood through the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition theorem,[4]

$$\mathbb{C}[D_4] \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}), \tag{1}$$

which decomposes the group algebra into irreducible matrix blocks. The continuous Lie-algebra structure arises inside the noncommutative matrix component $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ associated with the two-dimensional irreducible representation.

The physical interpretation adopted here is influenced by Rovelli's analysis of gauge structure in "Why Gauge?" [3]. In Rovelli's view, gauge degrees of freedom are not merely redundant variables but encode relational information between interacting subsystems. The present construction suggests that such relational gauge structure can emerge naturally from the noncommutative algebra of a finite symmetry group.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section II reviews the structure of the group algebra of D_4, its conjugacy classes, and the distinction between class functions and the full algebra. Section III shows explicitly that the non-class-function directions satisfy the Pauli algebra after normalization. Section IV constructs continuous transformations by exponentiating these finite-group

Symmetry	Operator	Irreducible Function	Eigenvalue
Translation	$\partial/\partial\, x$	$\exp(ikx)$	ik
Spherical	$\partial/\partial\, \phi$	$Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$	im
Group G	$g \in G$	Irrep basis function (e.g. A_2)	$\chi(g)$

Table 1: Different forms of harmonic analysis arising from symmetry. In each case, functions are written as sums over irreps. With each irrep, a particular symmetry group element is converted into multiplication by a complex number (eigenvalue) thereby simplifying equations.

algebra elements and relates the resulting structure to continuous $SU(2)$ symmetry. Section V discusses generalizations to other finite groups and possible implications for gauge structure arising from discrete symmetry.

2 The Group Algebra of D_4

2.1 Conjugacy classes and class functions

The dihedral group D_4 is the symmetry group of the square. It contains eight elements: four rotations and four reflections. We label the corners of the square by $1, 2, 3, 4$ in counterclockwise order and represent the group elements as permutations of these labels.

The rotational subgroup is

$$(), \qquad (1234), \qquad (13)(24), \qquad (1432), \tag{2}$$

corresponding respectively to rotations by 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270°. The four reflections are

$$(12)(34), \qquad (14)(23), \qquad (13), \qquad (24). \tag{3}$$

The group algebra $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$ consists of all complex linear combinations of these eight group elements:

$$x = \sum_{g \in D_4} a_g g, \qquad a_g \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4}$$

The use of complex coefficients parallels the standard formulation of quantum mechanics on complex Hilbert spaces. The group algebra $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$ may be viewed as a complex vector space equipped with multiplication inherited from the symmetry group itself. Addition is componentwise while multiplication is inherited from the group multiplication and extended linearly.

A central role is played by conjugacy classes. Two group elements g, h are conjugate if there exists a group element k such that

$$h = kgk^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Conjugate elements are structurally equivalent from the point of view of the group multiplication table. For example,

$$(1432) \quad = \quad (24)(1234)(24)^{-1} \quad = \quad (24)(1234)(24), \tag{6}$$

so the two quarter-turn rotations belong to the same conjugacy class.

The group D_4 contains five conjugacy classes:

E	C_2	$2C_4$	$2C_2'$	$2C_2''$
()	(13)(24)	(1234), (1432)	(12)(34), (14)(23)	(13), (24)

(7)

A class function on D_4 is a function that takes the same value on every element within a conjugacy class. Thus a class function cannot distinguish between (1234) and (1432), nor between (13) and (24).

The space of class functions is naturally identified with the center of the group algebra and has dimension equal to the number of conjugacy classes. Since D_4 has five conjugacy classes, the space of class functions is five-dimensional even though the full group algebra $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$ has dimension eight.

This distinction between the class-function subspace and the full group algebra is the key structural fact behind the construction developed in this paper.

2.2 The character table and its complement

The irreducible representations of D_4 consist of four one-dimensional representations and one two-dimensional representation with character table:[4, 1]

	E	C_2	$2C_4$	$2C_2'$	$2C_2''$
A_1	1	1	1	1	1
A_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
B_1	1	1	-1	1	-1
B_2	1	1	-1	-1	1
E	2	-2	0	0	0

(8)

The irrep characters form an orthogonal basis for the space of class functions. Equivalently, they describe the decomposition of the center of the group algebra into irreducible components.

However, the character table does not describe the entire group algebra. Since $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$ is eight-dimensional while the space of class functions is only five-dimensional, there remain three additional directions orthogonal to all class functions.

A convenient basis for this complementary subspace is

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (1234) - (1432), \\ b &= (12)(34) - (14)(23), \\ c &= (13) - (24). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Each of these combinations sums to zero within its conjugacy class and therefore vanishes under class averaging. They are invisible to the character table precisely because the character table only records information constant on conjugacy classes.

The significance of these three directions is not immediately obvious from the character table alone. However, after suitable normalization they close under multiplication into exactly the Pauli algebra inside the two-dimensional irreducible block of $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$. The next section develops this construction explicitly.

3 Emergence of the Pauli Algebra

3.1 The two-dimensional block

The character table of D_4 already suggests that something qualitatively different occurs in the two-dimensional irreducible representation E. This is reflected algebraically in the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition of the group algebra:[4, 1]

$$\mathbb{C}[D_4] \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}). \tag{10}$$

The four one-dimensional irreducible representations contribute the four scalar blocks while the two-dimensional irreducible representation contributes the noncommutative matrix block $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. It is inside this block that the continuous Lie-algebra structure appears.

The central idempotent projecting onto the two-dimensional irreducible component is obtained from the character table in the standard way:

$$e_E = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{g \in D_4} \chi_E \left(g^{-1}\right) g. \tag{11}$$

Using the character row

$$\chi_E = (2, -2, 0, 0, 0), \tag{12}$$

this reduces immediately to

$$e_E = \left(() - (13)(24)\right)/2. \tag{13}$$

This element acts as the identity inside the $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ block and annihilates the two A and two B irreducible components.

The three non-class-function directions introduced in the previous section,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (1234) - (1432), \\ b &= (12)(34) - (14)(23), \\ c &= (13) - (24), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

all lie entirely inside this two-dimensional block. Their multiplication properties therefore determine the internal algebraic structure of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

3.2 Normalized generators and multiplication rules

We now normalize the generators so that their multiplication relations take the standard Pauli form. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= i\left((1234) - (1432)\right)/2, \\ \beta &= \left((12)(34) - (14)(23)\right)/2, \\ \gamma &= \left((13) - (24)\right)/2. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The factor of i in the definition of α is required because the quarter-turn rotations square to the negative of the projector e_E, whereas the reflection differences square to the positive projector.

Direct multiplication in the group algebra gives

$$\alpha^2 = e_E, \;\; \beta^2 = e_E, \;\; \gamma^2 = e_E. \tag{16}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= \left((1234) - (1432)\right)^2 \\ &= (13)(24) - () - () + (13)(24) \\ &= 2(13)(24) - 2() \\ &= -4e_E. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The factor $i/2$ therefore converts a^2 into $+e_E$.

The mixed products close cyclically:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\alpha = +i\gamma, \quad \gamma\beta = +i\alpha, \quad \alpha\gamma = +i\beta, \\ \alpha\beta = -i\gamma, \quad \beta\gamma = -i\alpha, \quad \gamma\alpha = -i\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

These are exactly the multiplication relations satisfied by the Pauli matrices. Consequently the subspace spanned by

$$e_E, \; \alpha, \; \beta, \; \gamma \tag{19}$$

is algebraically isomorphic to the full 2×2 complex matrix algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Equivalently, one may introduce matrix-unit elements inside the group algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= (e_E + \gamma)/2, \\ M_{22} &= (e_E - \gamma)/2, \\ M_{12} &= (\alpha + i\beta)/2, \\ M_{21} &= (\alpha - i\beta)/2. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

These satisfy the usual matrix-unit multiplication rule

$$M_{ij}\, M_{kl} = \delta_{jk}\, M_{il}, \tag{21}$$

and therefore give an explicit copy of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ inside $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$.

The appearance of the Pauli algebra is therefore not imposed externally. It arises directly from the noncommutative multiplication structure of the finite group algebra itself. The next section shows that exponentiating these generators produces continuous $SU(2)$ transformations entirely within $\mathbb{C}[D_4]$.

4 Continuous Transformations from Finite Symmetry

4.1 Exponentials and $SU(2)$

The multiplication relations derived in the previous section are exactly those of the Pauli algebra. Consequently the generators α, β, γ may be exponentiated in precisely the same way as ordinary Pauli matrices in quantum mechanics.

Consider, for example, rotations generated by β. Define

$$U(\theta) = \exp(-i\theta\beta). \tag{22}$$

Since

$$\beta^2 = e_E, \tag{23}$$

the exponential series separates naturally into even and odd powers:

$$U(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\theta\beta)^n}{n!} = e_E \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \theta^{2n}}{(2n)!} - i\beta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \theta^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}. \tag{24}$$

Recognizing the cosine and sine series gives

$$U(\theta) \;=\; \cos\theta \; e_E \;-\; i \sin\theta \; \beta. \tag{25}$$

The inverse transformation is therefore

$$U(\theta)^{-1} \;=\; U(-\theta) \;=\; \cos\theta \; e_E \;+\; i \sin\theta \; \beta. \tag{26}$$

Now consider a general element of the two-dimensional block:

$$x \;=\; a_E \, e_E \;+\; a_\alpha \, \alpha \;+\; a_\beta \, \beta \;+\; a_\gamma \, \gamma. \tag{27}$$

Under conjugation by $U(\theta)$,

$$x' \;=\; U(\theta) \, x \, U(-\theta), \tag{28}$$

the components proportional to e_E and β remain unchanged because they commute with β. The remaining generators α and γ anticommute with β and therefore rotate into one another.

Using the multiplication relations,

$$\beta\alpha = +i\gamma, \quad \beta\gamma = -i\alpha, \tag{29}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\;\rightarrow\; \cos(2\theta) \, \alpha - \sin(2\theta) \, \gamma, \\ \gamma &\;\rightarrow\; \sin(2\theta) \, \alpha + \cos(2\theta) \, \gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Thus conjugation by exponentials of finite-group algebra elements produces continuous rotations within the α–γ plane. The angle is 2θ rather than θ because the generators are normalized like Pauli matrices satisfying $\sigma_i^2 = I$.

A particularly important special case is obtained by restricting to normalized Hermitian elements corresponding to spin-1/2 density matrices. Define

$$\rho(\vec{u}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(e_E + u_x\alpha + u_y\beta + u_z\gamma\right), \tag{31}$$

where $\vec{u}$ is a real unit 3-vector:

$$u_x^2 + u_y^2 + u_z^2 = 1. \tag{32}$$

This is exactly the usual Bloch-sphere representation of a pure spin-1/2 density matrix, with

$$\rho^2 = \rho. \tag{33}$$

Under conjugation by

$$U(\theta) = \exp(-i\theta\beta), \tag{34}$$

the vector

$$\vec{u} = (u_x, u_y, u_z) \tag{35}$$

rotates continuously in the $x - z$ plane:

$$u_x \to u_x \cos(2\theta) - u_z \sin(2\theta), \; u_z \quad \to u_x \sin(2\theta) + u_z \cos(2\theta), \tag{36}$$

while u_y remains unchanged. Thus the finite group algebra reproduces the standard $SO(3)$ rotation of Bloch vectors. The appearance of the doubled angle 2θ is exactly the familiar spinorial double covering

$$SU(2) \to SO(3). \tag{37}$$

At the level of state vectors, a 2π rotation produces a minus sign. However, density matrices are quadratic in the state vectors and are therefore unchanged under this sign reversal. Consequently the density matrix returns to itself after a single 2π rotation even though the underlying spinor changes sign.

The crucial point is that no continuous Lie group has been assumed at the fundamental level. The continuous transformations arise entirely from exponentials of elements of the finite noncommutative group algebra.

4.2 Relational interpretation

The construction developed above is purely algebraic. Nevertheless, it admits a natural physical interpretation in the relational view of gauge structure emphasized by Rovelli in "Why Gauge?" [3].

In this perspective, gauge transformations are not merely mathematical redundancies. Instead they encode relations between interacting subsystems. Gauge-dependent quantities function as “handles” through which physical systems couple to one another.

The present construction provides a concrete realization of this idea within a finite symmetry algebra. The generators

$$\alpha, \qquad \beta, \qquad \gamma \tag{38}$$

define internal directions inside the noncommutative matrix block $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Exponentiating these directions produces continuous transformations relating different internal orientations of the system.

From this viewpoint, the continuous gauge structure is not imposed externally on the finite group. Rather, it emerges naturally from the internal algebraic structure already present in the group algebra itself.

The example of D_4 is particularly transparent but the same mechanism extends immediately to any finite group possessing higher-dimensional irreducible representations. The associated matrix blocks naturally contain the corresponding non-abelian Lie-algebra structures.

This suggests that continuous gauge structure may be viewed not as fundamental input but as an emergent consequence of sufficiently rich finite noncommutative symmetry.

5 Generalizations and Outlook

The construction presented here for D_4 is not an isolated algebraic curiosity. The essential mechanism is completely general: whenever a finite group possesses a higher-dimensional irreducible representation, the corresponding matrix block in the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition of the group algebra naturally contains a noncommutative Lie-algebra structure.

For a finite group G, the complex group algebra decomposes as[4]

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_i M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}), \tag{39}$$

where the integers n_i are the dimensions of the irreducible representations of G. The one-dimensional irreducible representations produce scalar blocks while every irreducible representation of dimension $n > 1$ contributes a noncommutative matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

The traceless Hermitian generators inside these matrix blocks naturally close into the familiar Lie algebras associated with continuous gauge symmetry. In particular,

$$M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{su}(2), \tag{40}$$

while

$$M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{su}(3). \tag{41}$$

Thus the appearance of continuous non-abelian gauge structure inside finite group algebras is not specific to D_4. The group D_4 is simply a small transparent example in which the mechanism may be easily demonstrated.

The symmetric group $S_3 \cong D_3$ provides another elementary example. Its two-dimensional irreducible representation similarly generates an internal $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ structure inside the corresponding matrix block. More generally, every finite group possessing irreducible representations of dimension greater than one contains analogous internal noncommutative directions invisible to the character table alone.

Particularly interesting possibilities arise for finite point groups with multiple higher-dimensional irreducible representations. For example, the full octahedral group O_h possesses several three-dimensional irreducible representations and therefore contains multiple $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ blocks inside its complex group algebra. These naturally support $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ structures in exactly the same way that the present D_4 construction supports $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

The results of this paper suggest that continuous gauge symmetry need not be regarded as fundamentally separate from finite symmetry. Instead, continuous gauge structure may emerge naturally from the noncommutative internal structure already present in finite group algebras.

Several important questions remain open. The present work concerns only the kinematical Lie-algebra structure arising inside the group algebra. It does not yet address gauge dynamics, coupling constants, or the construction of Yang–Mills field theories directly from finite symmetry algebras. It also remains unclear to what extent the Standard Model gauge structure can be reconstructed from finite symmetry principles alone.

Nevertheless, the simplicity of the present construction suggests that the relationship between finite symmetry, harmonic analysis, and gauge structure may deserve closer examination. The fact that continuous $SU(2)$ rotations emerge explicitly from the eight-element symmetry group of the square indicates that finite noncommutative symmetry already contains far richer structure than is usually assumed.

6 Conclusion

We have shown explicitly that the complex group algebra of the finite non-abelian group D_4 naturally contains the algebraic structure of continuous $SU(2)$ transformations. The essential mechanism is the appearance of noncommutative matrix blocks in the Artin–Wedderburn decomposition of the group algebra. For D_4, the three-dimensional subspace complementary to the class functions closes, after normalization, into exactly the Pauli algebra inside the two-dimensional irreducible block $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Exponentiating these generators produces continuous transformations entirely within the finite group algebra itself. The resulting structure reproduces the familiar $SU(2) \to SO(3)$ double covering and acts naturally on spin-1/2 density matrices through Bloch-sphere rotations.

The significance of the construction is not limited to D_4. The same mechanism applies generally to finite groups possessing higher-dimensional irreducible representations. In this sense, continuous non-abelian gauge structure need not be viewed as fundamentally separate from finite symmetry. Rather, it may emerge naturally from the internal noncommutative structure already present in finite group algebras.

The present work is intended primarily as a transparent algebraic example. All calculations may be verified directly using only the multiplication table of the symmetry group of the square. Nevertheless, the appearance of continuous Lie-algebra structure inside such a small finite symmetry group suggests that the relationship between harmonic analysis, finite symmetry, and gauge structure may deserve further investigation.

References

[1] Morton Hamermesh. *Group Theory and its Application to Physical Problems.* Dover, 1962.

[2] George W. Mackey. Harmonic Analysis as the Exploitation of Symmetry - A Historical Survey. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1):543–698, 1980.

[3] Carlo Rovelli. Why Gauge? *Foundations of Physics*, 44(1):91–104, January 2014.

[4] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*, volume 42 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Translated from the French by Leonard L. Scott.